Evidence-Based Policing (EBP) Maturity Model





					T Officing
ļ	Level 1 - Limited	Level 2 – Initiated	Level 3 – Defined	Level 4 – Developed	Level 5 – Integrated
Culture	No attempts to use EBP in practice and decision-making	Efforts to implement EBP are uncoordinated and ad hoc	Evidence of EBP principles being applied at some levels yet organisation still lacks confidence	Implementation plan for EBP created. Organisation gaining confidence and actively considering methods to introduce evidence-based approaches	EBP embedded at all levels of the organisation and consideration given to risks and benefits of conducting research
	 Staff don't value research evidence and its potential benefits, relying only on professional judgement to inform decisions and practice 	A minority of staff recognise benefits of research evidence for decision-making and practice	A growing appetite towards research evidence to inform decision-making and practice but hasn't permeated to all levels and areas of work	There is a commitment among staff to the value of research evidence, but this is not always evident in practice and decision-making	Staff at all levels value research evidence to inform decisions and practice
	 Perception that 'EBP isn't for the frontline' and is the responsibility of staff with strategic responsibilities 	Engagement with EBP restricted to isolated individuals / teams. No effort to increase organisational buy-in	Staff engagement with EBP is growing with increasing receptivity to its use across the force	 Staff encouraged to adopt an evidence-based approach and are recognised and rewarded for doing so 	Across the force staff motivated to actively engage with EBP
	Pressure to rush problem diagnosis and move to developing solutions so as to be seen as 'getting on with it'. Research evidence not used as part of this process	Little attempt made to diagnose problems specific to the force; tendency to apply a generic template that has worked for other forces and push to solution design quickly	There is recognition that multiple evidence sources should be used to identify issues specific to the force, where this is proportionate to the issue being explored, although these are not always considered in practice	 Issues specific to the force are sometimes identified using multiple evidence sources proportionate to the issue being explored 	A proportionate number of evidence sources are routinely used to identify and inform responses to specific force problems
	 Target-driven culture dominates leaving little or no room for EBP. Learning not generated through sharing of experience 	Some interest in evaluation and learning but unproven initiatives are used without testing and there is a lack of recognition in the value of learning lessons	The force is prepared to learn from interventions that work and don't work	 Force creates opportunities for experimentation and innovation. Evaluation is valued and encouraged and individuals are recognised for significant contributions in this area 	Learning and innovation drives the organisation; evaluation is routinely used to understand, assess and develop practice
Capability	Staff lack skills and knowledge to find, appraise and use research No capability provided by force for developing skills and	Ability within force to find, appraise and use research is limited to specific roles Limited capability within force for developing skills and	Some staff across varied roles have skills to find, appraise and use research Capability for developing skills and knowledge to find,	Many, but not all staff have the ability to find, appraise and use research Established capability for developing skills and	At all levels, staff have the capacity to find, appraise and use research Embedded capability across the force to develop the
	 knowledge to find, appraise and use research No protected time or opportunities for staff to develop EBP approaches 	knowledge to find, appraise and use research Minimal investment in time and opportunity to develop EBP approaches	appraise and use research is uncoordinated and inconsistent Some ad hoc investment in time and opportunity to develop EBP approaches	 knowledge to find, appraise and use research; mechanisms in place to facilitate this Purposeful, structured investment in time and opportunities to allow selected staff to develop and 	skills necessary to find, appraise and use research Embedded and sustainable investment at all levels for force to adopt and deliver EBP approaches
	No capability to use research and analysis tools	Marginal capability to use research and analysis tools	Capability to use some research and analysis tools and	adopt EBP approaches • Capability to use a range of research and analysis tools	Force has capability to use a range of research and
	and techniques	and techniques	techniques but not always applied appropriately	and techniques exists but not used consistently	analysis tools and techniques; applies consistently
Structure	Where links exist with academics, these tend to be at an individual level	Some developing links with academics usually at an individual project level and based on specific policing problems or issues	Force coordination of links with academics and higher education institutions lack consistency and effort is disjointed	Force academic partnerships exist but lack of mechanisms to support their sustainability	Formal and sustainable academic partnerships in place with clearly defined parameters aligned to the institutional priorities and expertise of all parties
	No process or systems in place to identify and prioritise research evidence gaps	Informal processes only for identifying and prioritising research evidence gaps	Processes in place which identify and align research evidence gaps to force priorities. Not widely used or actioned	 Formal process in place for identifying and prioritising evidence gaps and research requirements, that support routine force activity 	Process for ensuring evidence gaps and research findings systematically appraised, considered and inform force initiatives
	 Analysts are limited to using existing force administrative data, resulting in frequent use of proxy measures to assess impact 	There is pressure to assess impact soon after implementation with limited attention to the sustainability of changes	Reasonable time periods (3-6 months is standard) allowed before assessment of impact is made	 Attention paid to sustainability of changes following impact evaluation; final assessments might take place 12 months later 	Robust impact evaluations routinely carried out with comparison sites used to allow stronger causal links to be made and changes given enough time to embed before impact tested
	No identified point of contact accountable for the promotion and development of EBP	Force has a small or informal network of individuals championing EBP who work in an uncoordinated manner	Central team/department in force promoting EBP but may not be widely known	Coordination of EBP extends to force wide with a network of champions as the catalyst for promoting and developing EBP	Force has core EBP function responsible for coordinating and implementing EBP strategy
	 Force lacks any formal mechanisms to capture and share research evidence and learning. No records of research evidence available for staff use 	There is some effort to develop mechanisms to identify and capture research evidence and learning but these are little known and difficult to use, resulting in limited sharing	Mechanisms for identifying and capturing research evidence and learning exist but there is limited awareness of these and they are underused	All staff have access to mechanisms for identifying and capturing research evidence and learning but these are not routinely used	Mechanisms exist to enable easy access to a comprehensive range of research evidence and learning which is routinely used, promoted and shared by staff
	EBP is not considered within the force continuing professional development (CPD) procedures	No formal recognition of EBP within the CPD procedures but considered by some individuals	EBP considered within CPD processes for specific specialist roles and/or teams	EBP starting to be recognised as a key part of workforce development. PDR objectives relating to EBP considered for all roles but not fully integrated	EBP is integrated into CPD and essential to recruitment and promotion processes and staff are expected to demonstrate EBP skills and knowledge
Strategy	No real interest in or commitment to EBP at a senior level	Some senior leaders interested in EBP. Partial endorsement limits the spread of engagement	Senior leaders support EBP and its use but pockets of resistance exist; understanding has not fully permeated the organisation	Senior leaders promote examples of EBP, communicate the benefits and are comfortable appraising and interpreting research evidence	Senior leaders champion EBP, providing authority and motivation to staff. They use EBP to convince others of the legitimacy and credibility of their approach
	• Little or no financial investment in EBP initiatives and activities	Some buy-in to the principle of investing in EBP but little financial investment in practice	Some financial resource for EBP but is inconsistent and seen as expendable when priorities shift	Force has dedicated funding to resource EBP across the force	Evidence of significant investment in EBP; key consideration in budget planning process and clearly aligned to force strategy
	 Force's strategic vision and aims do not encourage use of research evidence to inform policy, practice or decision-making; EBP happens in isolation to business objectives 	EBP mentioned in strategies but little evidence of use in planning, commissioning or implementation	Discrete EBP strategy exists but not directly aligned with other force strategies	Force's strategy incorporates a shift towards EBP which is clearly articulated, and directly supports its organisational goals	EBP integral to force strategy, business planning and commissioning decisions. All staff fully appreciate benefits and apply EBP. It is actively promoted at all levels and influences local plans